


# Q1 In what capacity have you attended the Symposium, Member or Guest:


Answered: 43 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Registered from an ACDHS University Member (Please answer Part A and Part B)	74.42%	32
Registered as an Invited Guest (Please answer Part A only)	25.58%	11
TOTAL		43

Q2 A1) Have you attended the previous ACDHS 2022 Symposium in Sydney? If no, skip this question and go to next question. If yes, How would you rate the 2024 Symposium compared to the previous 2022 Sydney event


Answered: 13 Skipped: 30



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5 - A lot better	15.38%	2
4 - Somewhat better	15.38%	2
3 - About the same	53.85%	7
4 - A little less	15.38%	2
5 - A lot less	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>13</b>

### Q3 A2) How would you rate the quality of the 2024 Symposium speaker presentations?


Answered: 39 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5 - Excellent	64.10%	25
4 - Good	28.21%	11
3 - Average	7.69%	3
2 - Poor	0.00%	0
1 - Very Poor	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>39</b>

### Q4 A3) How would you rate the overall quality of the 2024 program?


Answered: 39 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5 - Excellent	58.97%	23
4 - Good	33.33%	13
3 - Average	7.69%	3
2 - Poor	0.00%	0
1 - Very Poor	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>39</b>

### Q5 A4) Were the speaker topics covered relevant and valuable to your interests or professional development?


Answered: 39 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5 - Extremely Relevant	53.85%	21
4 - Very Relevant	30.77%	12
3 - Somewhat Relevant	12.82%	5
2 - Not Very Relevant	0.00%	0
1 - Not At All Relevant	2.56%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>39</b>

### Q6 A5) How suitable was the Symposium format and timing (e.g. length, schedule, structure)?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5 - Very suitable	50.00%	19
4 - Suitable	44.74%	17
3 - Neutral	2.63%	1
2 - Slighted unsuited	2.63%	1
1 - Very unsuited	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>38</b>

Q7 A6) What topic or program section would you have preferred less time allocated to (if any)?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 21


Q8 A7) What topic or program section would you would have preferred more time allocated to (if any)?

Answered: 24 Skipped: 19



### Q9 A8) How would you rate the suitability of the Rendezvous Hotel venue (e.g., location, catering, seating, technology)?


Answered: 39 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	41.03%	16
Good	43.59%	17
Average	10.26%	4
Poor	5.13%	2
Very Poor	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>39</b>

Q10 A9) Did you attend the Symposium Welcome Dinner? If no, skip and go to next question. If yes, how would you rate the networking opportunity provided at the drinks and dinner?

Answered: 26 Skipped: 17




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5 - Extremely Worthwhile	46.15%	12
4 - Very Worthwhile	46.15%	12
3 - Moderately Worthwhile	7.69%	2
2 - Slightly Worthwhile	0.00%	0
1 - Not At All Worthwhile	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>26</b>

Q11 A10) What did you find most valuable about the Symposium? Please be as specific as possible.

Answered: 26 Skipped: 17

Q12 A11) Thinking ahead, in terms of the size of the Symposium (numbers of attendees, the interactions and format) do you feel the next Symposium would be improved if:

Answered: 38 Skipped: 5




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5 - Much smaller	0.00%	0
4 - Slightly smaller	0.00%	0
3 - Same size	81.58%	31
2 - Slightly larger	15.79%	6
1 - Significantly larger	2.63%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>38</b>

**Q13 A12) What if anything could be improved for the ACDHS Allied Health awards?**

Answered: 18 Skipped: 25

### Q14 A13) Overall, how satisfied are you with the 2024 Symposium?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
5 - Very satisfied	68.42%	26
4 - Satisfied	26.32%	10
3 - Neutral	5.26%	2
2 - Unsatisfied	0.00%	0
1 - Very Unsatisfied	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>38</b>

Q15 A14) What if any improvements would you suggest for a future ACDHS Symposium?

Answered: 14 Skipped: 29

Q16 A15) Do you have any further feedback/comments about the 2024 Symposium?

Answered: 12 Skipped: 31




Q17 B1) Considering all the issues you are aware of affecting allied health education and research, including the implications of the many recent and current reviews/reforms/regulatory factors; please indicate your preference of the top three priority issues to be pursued (regardless of method being a research based or advocacy project type) Topic examples included but not limited to (please be concise and clear)- Accreditation (impost/duplicate efforts); - AH workforce shortages (academic workforce)- Enhancing international collaborations- Allied Health support of First Nations people- Aiding collaboration to improve clinical models of care- Government engagement with ACDHS and AH education & research

Answered: 15 Skipped: 28

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Priority 1:	100.00%	15
Priority 2:	100.00%	15
Priority 3:	93.33%	14

Q18 B2) Please indicate if you have a preference for ACDHS to take a particular approach or to simply adopt the most effect approach to address the issue.


Answered: 15 Skipped: 28



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Research-based project	0.00%	0
Advocacy campaign based	6.67%	1
Combination of both if possible	33.33%	5
Whichever approach is suitable/effective	60.00%	9
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>15</b>

Q19 B3) In Australia the peak body for doctors (MDANZ) and the peak for Nurses and Midwives (CDNM) each takes an Australian and New Zealand perspective and remit. As an ACDHS member do you believe ACDHS should consider the addition of NZ to our remit and scope?

Answered: 15 Skipped: 28



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	73.33%	11
No	0.00%	0
Not now but possible in future	26.67%	4
TOTAL		15

## Q20 Your details (optional)

Answered: 3 Skipped: 40

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Name	100.00%	3
Institution	100.00%	3
Email address	100.00%	3